

## TAKES FALL OUT OF SPEAKER HULL

The House Makes Successful Kick Against Killing Bill.

### EMERGENCY CLAUSE ROW

RUSH OF MEASURES IN LOWER BRANCH OF LEGISLATURE.

House bill No. 128, by Hawley, providing for a central Utah experiment station, was resurrected from the dead last night after Speaker Hull had relegated it to the legislative cemetery by his decision during the afternoon session that because the bill had contained an emergency clause and had not been referred a two-thirds vote in its favor it had been defeated.

Undertaker Hull thought that he had embalmed the bill and that there wasn't a ghost of a show that the house would appeal from his decision, but the night session brought the miracle, and the legislation, which has already shown its feeling toward the measure by its vote of 27 to 15, will have a chance to act on it Thursday.

The fact of the matter is that the bill is already passed, but the technical objection of the speaker that no appeal was made yesterday afternoon from his decision during the afternoon session was allowed to stand. The house will simply take the bill up again and again pass it.

Speaker Hull's ruling, members of both houses say, was clearly inconsistent, without precedent. The supporters of the bill heard with open-mouthed astonishment that the bill had been killed, although there was a majority vote in its favor.

The roll call, which was a debate which occupied the greater part of the afternoon and which developed determined opposition to the bill. This opposition came from both classes.

The final vote stood 27 yeas to 15 nays.

Farmers Show Surprise.

The farmers smilingly heard the result and then heard the speaker count the bill down and the fact that a two-thirds vote had not been secured.

According to the interpretation of the law in the past, the bill should have been declared carried with the emergency clause lost.

When it dawned upon the supporters of the bill that it had been pronounced a "dead one," they were sore and their feelings were not calmed by the reminder in which Referee Hull handed out his decisions.

"We can reconsider," shouted one.

"Yes, let's call the bill up," came from another quarter.

"You can do nothing of the kind," the man in the center of the ring announced.

Cause of Opposition.

The opposition that developed to the bill came from three sources. First, some of the members were honestly opposed to the bill because it carried with it a larger appropriation in their opinion than the state could afford; second, the bill was amended to the point of nervous prostration; and last, and seemingly quite important, some of those who voted against the bill on that measure saw nothing but their own county at the home of the experiment farm, while the bill made the choice optional with the agricultural department directors of the Agricultural college and the state board of land commissioners.

Hawley's bill provided for the establishment in central Utah of an experiment farm to be under the control of the Logan experimental farm directors.

Debate on the Measure.

That the bill had a fight on was evident from the start. It has been shelved several times and yesterday when it came up as a special order at 2 p. m., Joseph moved that consideration of the bill be passed up until a report and financial statement could be obtained from the state board of agriculture. After a lengthy row over Joseph's motion, it was put to a vote and defeated.

It was on the clause providing that the counties embraced in the chain of valleys extending from Box Elder to Tubb should be selected to bid for the experiment farm that the debate centered.

Johnson told what great stunts were being done every year down Snopette way in the line of farming. He desired to cut out the word "Tubb" and to substitute the word "Snopette." He made a motion to that effect.

It was Millard's turn next to shine. Thompson thought that Millard was being left out in the cold. He moved to amend the bill to include his county.

Toiton then arose and made an amendment, making it possible for any county in the state eligible.

Austin made an amendment associating the state board of land commissioners with the directors of the agricultural college in choosing a site for the experiment farm. The amendment carried.

Edward offered an amendment looking toward experiment work in agriculture as well as all pursuits pertaining to the soil.

The amendment lost, possibly because of the fact that for one man who knew what agriculture meant there appeared to be about forty who didn't. Johnson "wanted to know" and appealed to the speaker. The latter admitted that his dictionary had skipped the word, so Edward explained that the term meant literally "be culture."

Try to Doctor Bill to Death.

From this point on the gentle art of attempting to kill the bill by doctoring it was practiced. McCrea wanted amend the bill as to provide that the experiment farm should be located in Salt Lake county. He explained his reasons, stating that this county was visited annually by thousands who would be interested to see the work of the experiment station. On the other hand, he said, there was no use in isolating the farm in some out-of-the-way "cow county."

Kuchler sat through the praise for Salt Lake county and then arose to champion Weber county.

The supporters of the bill began to see the writing on the wall and attempted to put a stop to what was termed "filibustering tactics." Tolton opposed the amendments. He believed McCrea should have gone further and specified the exact spot in Salt Lake county where he desired the farm located. The city and county building square, Tolton suggested, would make an admirable spot.

After McCrea and Kuchler came Wilson, who could see nothing but Warrenton. The argument over the amendments brought to light the fact that it was suspected McCrea's desire was to get the state and the smelters in a mix-up. McCrea didn't worry much about the assertion to this effect.

None of the amendments carried. When roll was called, the house lined up as follows:

Ayes—J. A. Anderson, Austin, Carlock, Christensen, Cottam, Dean, Edwards, Fishburn, Hawley, Hone, Hopes, Johnson, Jones, Kinney, Luther, Maughan, Marks, Merrill, Peterson, Richardson, Simons, Storker, Stringham, Thompson, Tolton, Wilson and Wootton—27.

Nays—Allen, Cronan, Curtin, Daily, Gundry, Joseph, Kuchler, Lyman, McCrea, Miller, Pace, Pancake, Panter, Spencer and Speaker Hull—15.

Eight-hour bill Interfered.

Hone's eight-hour bill (house bill No. 134), was laid on the table at this legislative session is concerned, by the adoption yesterday of the unfavorable decision of the speaker, but the members of the labor and manufacturers and commerce committees, excepting the agriculture committee, were not on the floor of the house when the report was submitted, and it went without any attempt to force the legislators to "put themselves on record."

The committee on education and art reported a bill, No. 135, by Roberts, relating to the compensation of members of boards of education in the first class.

Senate bills were received yesterday and referred to committees as follows:

House bill No. 138, by Hawley, providing for a central Utah experiment station, was resurrected from the dead last night after Speaker Hull had relegated it to the legislative cemetery by his decision during the afternoon session that because the bill had contained an emergency clause and had not been referred a two-thirds vote in its favor it had been defeated.

Undertaker Hull thought that he had embalmed the bill and that there wasn't a ghost of a show that the house would appeal from his decision, but the night session brought the miracle, and the legislation, which has already shown its feeling toward the measure by its vote of 27 to 15, will have a chance to act on it Thursday.

The fact of the matter is that the bill is already passed, but the technical objection of the speaker that no appeal was made yesterday afternoon from his decision during the afternoon session was allowed to stand. The house will simply take the bill up again and again pass it.

Speaker Hull's ruling, members of both houses say, was clearly inconsistent, without precedent. The supporters of the bill heard with open-mouthed astonishment that the bill had been killed, although there was a majority vote in its favor.

The roll call, which was a debate which occupied the greater part of the afternoon and which developed determined opposition to the bill. This opposition came from both classes.

The final vote stood 27 yeas to 15 nays.

Farmers Show Surprise.

The farmers smilingly heard the result and then heard the speaker count the bill down and the fact that a two-thirds vote had not been secured.

According to the interpretation of the law in the past, the bill should have been declared carried with the emergency clause lost.

When it dawned upon the supporters of the bill that it had been pronounced a "dead one," they were sore and their feelings were not calmed by the reminder in which Referee Hull handed out his decisions.

"We can reconsider," shouted one.

"Yes, let's call the bill up," came from another quarter.

"You can do nothing of the kind," the man in the center of the ring announced.

Cause of Opposition.

The opposition that developed to the bill came from three sources. First, some of the members were honestly opposed to the bill because it carried with it a larger appropriation in their opinion than the state could afford; second, the bill was amended to the point of nervous prostration; and last, and seemingly quite important, some of those who voted against the bill on that measure saw nothing but their own county at the home of the experiment farm, while the bill made the choice optional with the agricultural department directors of the Agricultural college and the state board of land commissioners.

Hawley's bill provided for the establishment in central Utah of an experiment farm to be under the control of the Logan experimental farm directors.

Debate on the Measure.

That the bill had a fight on was evident from the start. It has been shelved several times and yesterday when it came up as a special order at 2 p. m., Joseph moved that consideration of the bill be passed up until a report and financial statement could be obtained from the state board of agriculture. After a lengthy row over Joseph's motion, it was put to a vote and defeated.

It was on the clause providing that the counties embraced in the chain of valleys extending from Box Elder to Tubb should be selected to bid for the experiment farm that the debate centered.

Johnson told what great stunts were being done every year down Snopette way in the line of farming. He desired to cut out the word "Tubb" and to substitute the word "Snopette." He made a motion to that effect.

It was Millard's turn next to shine. Thompson thought that Millard was being left out in the cold. He moved to amend the bill to include his county.

Toiton then arose and made an amendment, making it possible for any county in the state eligible.

Austin made an amendment associating the state board of land commissioners with the directors of the agricultural college in choosing a site for the experiment farm. The amendment carried.

Edward offered an amendment looking toward experiment work in agriculture as well as all pursuits pertaining to the soil.

The amendment lost, possibly because of the fact that for one man who knew what agriculture meant there appeared to be about forty who didn't. Johnson "wanted to know" and appealed to the speaker. The latter admitted that his dictionary had skipped the word, so Edward explained that the term meant literally "be culture."

Try to Doctor Bill to Death.

From this point on the gentle art of attempting to kill the bill by doctoring it was practiced. McCrea wanted amend the bill as to provide that the experiment farm should be located in Salt Lake county. He explained his reasons, stating that this county was visited annually by thousands who would be interested to see the work of the experiment station. On the other hand, he said, there was no use in isolating the farm in some out-of-the-way "cow county."

Kuchler sat through the praise for Salt Lake county and then arose to champion Weber county.

The supporters of the bill began to see the writing on the wall and attempted to put a stop to what was termed "filibustering tactics." Tolton opposed the amendments. He believed McCrea should have gone further and specified the exact spot in Salt Lake county where he desired the farm located. The city and county building square, Tolton suggested, would make an admirable spot.

After McCrea and Kuchler came Wilson, who could see nothing but Warrenton. The argument over the amendments brought to light the fact that it was suspected McCrea's desire was to get the state and the smelters in a mix-up. McCrea didn't worry much about the assertion to this effect.

None of the amendments carried. When roll was called, the house lined up as follows:

Ayes—J. A. Anderson, Austin, Carlock, Christensen, Cottam, Dean, Edwards, Fishburn, Hawley, Hone, Hopes, Johnson, Jones, Kinney, Luther, Maughan, Marks, Merrill, Peterson, Richardson, Simons, Storker, Stringham, Thompson, Tolton, Wilson and Wootton—27.

Nays—Allen, Cronan, Curtin, Daily, Gundry, Joseph, Kuchler, Lyman, McCrea, Miller, Pace, Pancake, Panter, Spencer and Speaker Hull—15.

Eight-hour bill Interfered.

Hone's eight-hour bill (house bill No. 134), was laid on the table at this legislative session is concerned, by the adoption yesterday of the unfavorable decision of the speaker, but the members of the labor and manufacturers and commerce committees, excepting the agriculture committee, were not on the floor of the house when the report was submitted, and it went without any attempt to force the legislators to "put themselves on record."

The committee on education and art reported a bill, No. 135, by Roberts, relating to the compensation of members of boards of education in the first class.

Senate bills were received yesterday and referred to committees as follows:

House bill No. 138, by Hawley, providing for a central Utah experiment station, was resurrected from the dead last night after Speaker Hull had relegated it to the legislative cemetery by his decision during the afternoon session that because the bill had contained an emergency clause and had not been referred a two-thirds vote in its favor it had been defeated.

Undertaker Hull thought that he had embalmed the bill and that there wasn't a ghost of a show that the house would appeal from his decision, but the night session brought the miracle, and the legislation, which has already shown its feeling toward the measure by its vote of 27 to 15, will have a chance to act on it Thursday.

The fact of the matter is that the bill is already passed, but the technical objection of the speaker that no appeal was made yesterday afternoon from his decision during the afternoon session was allowed to stand. The house will simply take the bill up again and again pass it.

Speaker Hull's ruling, members of both houses say, was clearly inconsistent, without precedent. The supporters of the bill heard with open-mouthed astonishment that the bill had been killed, although there was a majority vote in its favor.

The roll call, which was a debate which occupied the greater part of the afternoon and which developed determined opposition to the bill. This opposition came from both classes.

The final vote stood 27 yeas to 15 nays.

Farmers Show Surprise.

The farmers smilingly heard the result and then heard the speaker count the bill down and the fact that a two-thirds vote had not been secured.

According to the interpretation of the law in the past, the bill should have been declared carried with the emergency clause lost.

When it dawned upon the supporters of the bill that it had been pronounced a "dead one," they were sore and their feelings were not calmed by the reminder in which Referee Hull handed out his decisions.

"We can reconsider," shouted one.

"Yes, let's call the bill up," came from another quarter.

"You can do nothing of the kind," the man in the center of the ring announced.

Cause of Opposition.

The opposition that developed to the bill came from three sources. First, some of the members were honestly opposed to the bill because it carried with it a larger appropriation in their opinion than the state could afford; second, the bill was amended to the point of nervous prostration; and last, and seemingly quite important, some of those who voted against the bill on that measure saw nothing but their own county at the home of the experiment farm, while the bill made the choice optional with the agricultural department directors of the Agricultural college and the state board of land commissioners.

Hawley's bill provided for the establishment in central Utah of an experiment farm to be under the control of the Logan experimental farm directors.

Debate on the Measure.

That the bill had a fight on was evident from the start. It has been shelved several times and yesterday when it came up as a special order at 2 p. m., Joseph moved that consideration of the bill be passed up until a report and financial statement could be obtained from the state board of agriculture. After a lengthy row over Joseph's motion, it was put to a vote and defeated.

It was on the clause providing that the counties embraced in the chain of valleys extending from Box Elder to Tubb should be selected to bid for the experiment farm that the debate centered.

Johnson told what great stunts were being done every year down Snopette way in the line of farming. He desired to cut out the word "Tubb" and to substitute the word "Snopette." He made a motion to that effect.

It was Millard's turn next to shine. Thompson thought that Millard was being left out in the cold. He moved to amend the bill to include his county.

Toiton then arose and made an amendment, making it possible for any county in the state eligible.

Austin made an amendment associating the state board of land commissioners with the directors of the agricultural college in choosing a site for the experiment farm. The amendment carried.

Edward offered an amendment looking toward experiment work in agriculture as well as all pursuits pertaining to the soil.

The amendment lost, possibly because of the fact that for one man who knew what agriculture meant there appeared to be about forty who didn't. Johnson "wanted to know" and appealed to the speaker. The latter admitted that his dictionary had skipped the word, so Edward explained that the term meant literally "be culture."

Try to Doctor Bill to Death.

From this point on the gentle art of attempting to kill the bill by doctoring it was practiced. McCrea wanted amend the bill as to provide that the experiment farm should be located in Salt Lake county. He explained his reasons, stating that this county was visited annually by thousands who would be interested to see the work of the experiment station. On the other hand, he said, there was no use in isolating the farm in some out-of-the-way "cow county."

Kuchler sat through the praise for Salt Lake county and then arose to champion Weber county.

The supporters of the bill began to see the writing on the wall and attempted to put a stop to what was termed "filibustering tactics." Tolton opposed the amendments. He believed McCrea should have gone further and specified the exact spot in Salt Lake county where he desired the farm located. The city and county building square, Tolton suggested, would make an admirable spot.

After McCrea and Kuchler came Wilson, who could see nothing but Warrenton. The argument over the amendments brought to light the fact that it was suspected McCrea's desire was to get the state and the smelters in a mix-up. McCrea didn't worry much about the assertion to this effect.

None of the amendments carried. When roll was called, the house lined up as follows:

Ayes—J. A. Anderson, Austin, Carlock, Christensen, Cottam, Dean, Edwards, Fishburn, Hawley, Hone, Hopes, Johnson, Jones, Kinney, Luther, Maughan, Marks, Merrill, Peterson, Richardson, Simons, Storker, Stringham, Thompson, Tolton, Wilson and Wootton—27.

Nays—Allen, Cronan, Curtin, Daily, Gundry, Joseph, Kuchler, Lyman, McCrea, Miller, Pace, Pancake, Panter, Spencer and Speaker Hull—15.

Eight-hour bill Interfered.

Hone's eight-hour bill (house bill No. 134), was laid on the table at this legislative session is concerned, by the adoption yesterday of the unfavorable decision of the speaker, but the members of the labor and manufacturers and commerce committees, excepting the agriculture committee, were not on the floor of the house when the report was submitted, and it went without any attempt to force the legislators to "put themselves on record."

The committee on education and art reported a bill, No. 135, by Roberts, relating to the compensation of members of boards of education in the first class.

Senate bills were received yesterday and referred to committees as follows:

House bill No. 138, by Hawley, providing for a central Utah experiment station, was resurrected from the dead last night after Speaker Hull had relegated it to the legislative cemetery by his decision during the afternoon session that because the bill had contained an emergency clause and had not been referred a two-thirds vote in its favor it had been defeated.

Undertaker Hull thought that he had embalmed the bill and that there wasn't a ghost of a show that the house would appeal from his decision, but the night session brought the miracle, and the legislation, which has already shown its feeling toward the measure by its vote of 27 to 15, will have a chance to act on it Thursday.

The fact of the matter is that the bill is already passed, but the technical objection of the speaker that no appeal was made yesterday afternoon from his decision during the afternoon session was allowed to stand. The house will simply take the bill up again and again pass it.

Speaker Hull's ruling, members of both houses say, was clearly inconsistent, without precedent. The supporters of the bill heard with open-mouthed astonishment that the bill had been killed, although there was a majority vote in its favor.

The roll call, which was a debate which occupied the greater part of the afternoon and which developed determined opposition to the bill. This opposition came from both classes.

The final vote stood 27 yeas to 15 nays.

Farmers Show Surprise.

The farmers smilingly heard the result and then heard the speaker count the bill down and the fact that a two-thirds vote had not been secured.

According to the interpretation of the law in the past, the bill should have been declared carried with the emergency clause lost.

When it dawned upon the supporters of the bill that it had been pronounced a "dead one," they were sore and their feelings were not calmed by the reminder in which Referee Hull handed out his decisions.

"We can reconsider," shouted one.

"Yes, let's call the bill up," came from another quarter.

"You can do nothing of the kind," the man in the center of the ring announced.

Cause of Opposition.

The opposition that developed to the bill came from three sources. First, some of the members were honestly opposed to the bill because it carried with it a larger appropriation in their opinion than the state could afford; second, the bill was amended to the point of nervous prostration; and last, and seemingly quite important, some of those who voted against the bill on that measure saw nothing but their own county at the home of the experiment farm, while the bill made the choice optional with the agricultural department directors of the Agricultural college and the state board of land commissioners.

Hawley's bill provided for the establishment in central Utah of an experiment farm to be under the control of the Logan experimental farm directors.

Debate on the Measure.

That the bill had a fight on was evident from the start. It has been shelved several times and yesterday when it came up as a special order at 2 p. m., Joseph moved that consideration of the bill be passed up until a report and financial statement could be obtained from the state board of agriculture. After a lengthy row over Joseph's motion, it was put to a vote and defeated.

It was on the clause providing that the counties embraced in the chain of valleys extending from Box Elder to Tubb should be selected to bid for the experiment farm that the debate centered.

Johnson told what great stunts were being done every year down Snopette way in the line of farming. He desired to cut out the word "Tubb" and to substitute the word "Snopette." He made a motion to that effect.

It was Millard's turn next to shine. Thompson thought that Millard was being left out in the cold. He moved to amend the bill to include his county.

Toiton then arose and made an amendment, making it possible for any county in the state eligible.

Austin made an amendment associating the state board of land commissioners with the directors of the agricultural college in choosing a site for the experiment farm. The amendment carried.

Edward offered an amendment looking toward experiment work in agriculture as well as all pursuits pertaining to the soil.

The amendment lost, possibly because of the fact that for one man who knew what agriculture meant there appeared to be about forty who didn't. Johnson "wanted to know" and appealed to the speaker. The latter admitted that his dictionary had skipped the word, so Edward explained that the term meant literally "be culture."

Try to Doctor Bill to Death.

From this point on the gentle art of attempting to kill the bill by doctoring it was practiced. McCrea wanted amend the bill as to provide that the experiment farm should be located in Salt Lake county. He explained his reasons, stating that this county was visited annually by thousands who would be interested to see the work of the experiment station. On the other hand, he said, there was no use in isolating the farm in some out-of-the-way "cow county."

Kuchler sat through the praise for Salt Lake county and then arose to champion Weber county.

The supporters of the bill began to see the writing on the wall and attempted to put a stop to what was termed "filibustering tactics." Tolton opposed the amendments. He believed McCrea should have gone further and specified the exact spot in Salt Lake county where he desired the farm located. The city and county building square, Tolton suggested, would make an admirable spot.

After McCrea and Kuchler came Wilson, who could see nothing but Warrenton. The argument over the amendments brought to light the fact that it was suspected McCrea's desire was to get the state and the smelters in a mix-up. McCrea didn't worry much about the assertion to this effect.

None of the amendments carried. When roll was called, the house lined up as follows:

Ayes—J. A. Anderson, Austin, Carlock, Christensen, Cottam, Dean, Edwards, Fishburn, Hawley, Hone, Hopes, Johnson, Jones, Kinney, Luther, Maughan, Marks, Merrill, Peterson, Richardson, Simons, Storker, Stringham, Thompson, Tolton, Wilson and Wootton—27.

Nays—Allen, Cronan, Curtin, Daily, Gundry, Joseph, Kuchler, Lyman, McCrea, Miller, Pace, Pancake, Panter, Spencer and Speaker Hull—15.

Eight-hour bill Interfered.

Hone's eight-hour bill (house bill No. 134), was laid on the table at this legislative session is concerned, by the adoption yesterday of the unfavorable decision of the speaker, but the members of the labor and manufacturers and commerce committees, excepting the agriculture committee, were not on the floor of the house when the report was submitted, and it went without any attempt to force the legislators to "put themselves on record."

The committee on education and art reported a bill, No. 135, by Roberts, relating to the compensation of members of boards of education in the first class.

Senate bills were received yesterday and referred to committees as follows:

## GOVERNOR NAMES NEW APPOINTEES

Senate Confirms Six Without a Suggestion of Opposition.

### CITY BILL GOES THROUGH

CORPORATION MEASURES DO NOT AROUSE OBJECTION.

Directors Deceit Agricultural & Manufacturing society—Lester D. Freed of Salt Lake, William C. Winder of Salt Lake, Mrs. Priscilla E. Rice of Salt Lake, Joseph A. Silver of Salt Lake.

Commissioner state bureau of statistics James DeMott of Salt Lake.

State coal mine inspector—Gomer Thomas of Salt Lake.

Appointments by Governor Cutler were unanimously confirmed by the senate as given above yesterday afternoon. In the afternoon the chief interest was centered in the post of coal mine inspector.

James DeMott, chairman of Carbon county, was the chief opponent of Mr. Thomas, who had some powerful backing. Probably the strongest argument was against him was the fact that he is an alien, and that he is not a resident of the state.

Mr. Thomas is the present inspector. He was appointed to succeed Thomas Lloyd about six years ago, and has held the position ever since that time.

State Statistician DeMott also succeeded himself. His resignation was conceded and was not opposed by anyone.

House Bills Are Passed.

House bill No. 42, by Wootton, the city government measure, was passed by the senate. Barber voted against it, and Benning, Lewis and Loose were absent.

The bill is a voluminous one and came from committee with some amendments attached. It was the subject of but little debate.

House bill No. 74, by the committee on railroads and common carriers, permitting hold-over directors of corporations, was passed by unanimous vote.

Some of the members appeared to be afraid of this measure for a time and professed an inability to appreciate the necessity for it. The purpose of the measure was explained by Lawrence, who said he had given it careful study and had found nothing wrong. Accepting his explanation, the senate put the bill through.

House bill No.